Prevalence of Asymptomatic COVID‑19 Infection in Hemodialysis Patients and the Risk of Hypercoagulability: Should we Consider Routine Screening?

Abstract

Introduction: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has become a pandemic in late 2019. Its clinical presentation varies from asymptomatic infection to severe respiratory failure. Infection control strategies to minimize the risk of transmission of COVID-19 in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients receiving in‐center hemodialysis (HD) have been implemented. Development of humoral response to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in adult patients with ESRD receiving HD has not been sufficiently reported. **Methods:** A total of 179 asymptomatic HD patients undergoing regular HD were screened for COVID-19 infection. Infection with SARS-CoV-2 was confirmed through a real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction assay of nasopharyngeal swab specimens. They were classified into positive and negative groups according to the results of PCR. **Results:** Of the 179 asymptomatic patients, we found that 23 patients (12.8%) were positive for COVID-19. Their mean age was 45.61 ± 13.38 years. There was a significant difference between both groups regarding C-reactive protein, lymphocytes, and platelet counts ($P < 0.001$). Also, TAT (thrombin–antithrombin complex) and D-dimer levels were significantly increased among the positive group $(11.47 \pm 1.51 \text{ vs. } 7.53 \pm 1.64 \text{ mcq/L}, P < 0.001$; 1171.52 ± 267.6 vs. 542.76 ± 107.06 ng/mL, *P* < 0.001, respectively). **Conclusion:** Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection is detected in HD patients. They carry the risk of hypercoagulability complications. We need more strict infection control measures and proactive diagnosis to limit the spread of the infection and lethal thromboembolic complications.

Keywords: *Asymptomatic infection, COVID‑19, ESRD, hemodialysis, hypercoagulability*

Introduction

The outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) started in Wuhan in December 2019 and has already spread worldwide.^[1] Hemodialysis (HD) patients have higher mortality than the general population. Infections are the second common cause of death in these patients.^[2] HD patients may be at higher risk of infection with COVID‑19 because of the need to go to the dialysis centers at an average of three times a week and frequent hospital admissions. This makes the prevention and control of infectious diseases more challenging in HD patients than that in the general population.^[3] Till now, there are limited data on HD patients with COVID-19. One study showed that 37 of the 230 HD patients enrolled were infected with SARS-CoV-2, among whom six died (crude mortality rate 16.2%).<a>[4]

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

This suggests that it is imperative to take effective protective interventions to contain the spread of SARS-CoV-2 in dialysis units. Nephrology societies developed the guidelines for the prevention and limitation of COVID-19 infection in HD units.^[5] But the drawback is that COVID-19 symptoms appear almost after an incubation period of about 6 days.^[6] However, the clinical presentation is varied from asymptomatic presentation to severe disease with pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome.^[7] Asymptomatic transmission of SARS-CoV-2 is an important issue in the control of this pandemic as it appears to be a major source of contagion. Additionally, between 18% and 30% of SARS-CoV-2 may be asymptomatic. Asymptomatic carriers can also transmit the disease and intensify the pandemic.[8]

Methods

This cross‑sectional study was performed in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki

How to cite this article: Ezzat H, Teama NM, Bichari WA. Prevalence of asymptomatic COVID-19 infection in hemodialysis patients and the risk of hypercoagulability: Should we consider routine screening? Indian J Nephrol 2023;33:101-7.

Haitham Ezzat, Nahla Mohamed Teama, Walid Ahmed Bichari

University, Cairo, Egypt

Department of Internal Medicine and Nephrology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams

Received: 31-03-2021 **Accepted:** 14-09-2021 **Published:** 20-02-2023

Address for correspondence: Prof. Haitham Ezzat, Assistant Professor of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Ain Shams University, Faculty of Medicine, Ramsis Street 38, Abbasia, Postal Code: 11566, Cairo, Egypt. E‑mail: haitham_ezzat@hotmail. com

Figure 1: Demonstrating the difference in D-dimer levels between COVID-19-positive and COVID-19-negative hemodialysis patients. SARS-CoV = severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus; PCR = polymerase chain reaction

Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Written informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. This study was conducted on 179 prevalent HD patients. Patients with malignancies, countercurrent infections, active collagen disease, and patients prone to surgical procedure lately were excluded from the study. All included patients were asymptomatic for COVID-19 according to "the new coronavirus pneumonia prevention and control program published by the national health commission of China."^[9] They were tested for coronavirus using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) by nasopharyngeal sampling; infection with SARS-CoV-2 was confirmed through a real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT‑PCR) assay of nasopharyngeal swab specimens following the routine screening. RT-PCR was carried out as per the Public Health England guidelines using certification‑marked assays with primers directed to the nucleocapsid or RNA (ribonucleic acid)-dependent RNA polymerase genes. According to the results of PCR, the patients were classified into two groups:

- **Group 1:** Including 23 asymptomatic prevalent HD patients tested positive for COVID‑19
- **Group 2:** Including 156 asymptomatic prevalent HD patients tested negative for COVID-19

All participants were subjected to full history including the etiology of end‑stage renal disease (ESRD), the duration of HD, and the associated hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. Hematology workup was done; hemoglobin (Hb) level, lymphocytic count, and platelet count were measured, and also C‑reactive protein (CRP) was measured. The blood samples were collected before the dialysis session, stored in sodium citrate anticoagulated tubes, and analyzed within 30 minutes after collection. As hypercoagulability is a common complication in COVID‑19 infection and many reported cases died due to hypercoagulability complications as pulmonary embolism, in addition to the previously listed investigations we also assessed the hypercoagulability state using D-dimer and thrombin–antithrombin complex (TAT) levels and compared the results between both groups. TAT is measured by enzyme immunoassay using a sandwich technique. The patient sample containing TAT is incubated with antibodies against thrombin, and the unbound constituents are removed by washing. Enzyme‑conjugated antibodies to antithrombin are then added to the reaction, and the excess antibodies are removed by washing. The remaining (bound) enzymatic activity acts on a chromophore, and color development is proportional to the TAT in the sample. An additional group of 35 normal healthy subjects was added to our study design as a control group to compare the levels of TAT.

Statistical analysis

We used SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) Version 24 to analyze the data. Categorical data were described in terms of frequencies and percentages. Numerical data were described in terms of means and standard deviations if normally distributed. Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to test the normality of data. Chi‑square test was used to test the association between qualitative variables. Independent *t*-test was used to measure the statistical significance of the difference in means between both groups. Analysis of variance was used to test the statistical significance of the difference between more than two groups with the Bonferroni *post hoc* test. Pearson correlation was used to test the association between numerical variables. A receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve was used to assess the effectiveness of TAT in the detection of hypercoagulability state in HD patients with COVID. In all statistical tests, *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

This study was conducted on 179 prevalent HD patients. Their mean age was 43.4 ± 12.8 years. Most of them were males (57%). Of the 179 asymptomatic patients, 23 patients (12.8%) were tested positive for COVID‑19. One aspect of the problem is that these patients had been not isolated, which means that they might have spread the infection for a period within the dialysis centers and within their families and the community. In this study, diabetes mellitus was the most common cause of ESRD (42.5%) followed by hypertension (22.3%). Fifty patients were HCV positive (27.9%). The median Hb level was 9.7 (9–10.2) g/dL. The median platelets, absolute lymphocyte counts, and CRP levels are shown in Table 1. The median level of D-dimer was 550 (480–660 ng/mL). On the other hand, the mean level of TAT was found to be 8.03 ± 2.1 mcq/L as shown in Table 1.

Diagnosis of COVID-19

We found that there was no significant difference number of infected persons between the males and females (*P* = 0.618). Although positive COVID‑19 patients were slightly older, this was statistically insignificant $(P = 0.381)$. There was no significant difference between both groups regarding the Hb

level $(P = 0.403)$; in addition, we found no statistically significant association between being HCV positivity and COVID-19 positivity $(P = 0.83)$. On the other hand, we found that positive COVID-19 HD patients had a highly significant lower platelet count than those who tested negative ($P < 0.001$). They also had significantly lower levels of lymphocytes compared with negative COVID‑19 HD patients (*P* < 0.001). CRP levels were extremely high in positive COVID‑19 patients (*P* < 0.001) as shown in Table 2. D-dimer levels were significantly higher in COVID-19 positive HD patients compared with those in the COVID-19 negative group (1171.52 ± 267.6 vs. 542.76 ± 107.06 ng/ mL; *P* < 0.001) as shown in Figure 1. With respect to TAT levels, we found a statistically significant difference between both groups. COVID-19-positive HD patients had higher levels compared with COVID-19-negative patients (*P* < 0.001) as shown in Table 2 and Figure 2.

D-Dimer

On subgroup analysis for COVID‑19 positive patients (23 patients), we found that there was a weak correlation between D-dimer levels and age, Hb

*Frequency (percentage). **Mean±standard deviation. ***Median (first quartile to third quartile) ESRD=end‑stage renal disease; PCKD=polycystic kidney disease; HTN=hypertension; DM=diabetes mellitus; SLE=systemic lupus erythematosus; HCV=hepatitis C virus; +ve=positive; Hb=hemoglobin; CRP, C‑reactive protein; SARS‑CoV‑2=severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; PCR=polymerase chain reaction; TAT=thrombin– antithrombin complex

level, and duration of dialysis. However, none of these was statistically significant (*P* = 0.41, 0.99, and 0.49, respectively) as shown in Table 3. On the other hand, there was a negative correlation between D‑dimer levels and platelet count and lymphocytic count. In addition, there was a positive correlation between D‑dimer levels and CRP levels. All these correlations were also statistically insignificant $(P = 0.05, 0.18,$ and 0.07, respectively) as shown in Table 3.

TAT levels

On subgroup analysis on COVID-19-positive HD patients (23 patients), we found that neither the CRP level nor the lymphocytic count was significant determinants of TAT levels in those patients ($P = 0.47$ and 0.3, respectively). Platelet count was the significant indicator of TAT level in COVID‑19‑positive ESRD patients as shown in Table 4. On comparing the HD patients' group, whether COVID-19 positive or negative, with healthy individuals group consisting of 35 subjects who were age and sex matched, we found a statistically significant difference between them with regard to TAT levels and D-dimer levels as shown in Table 5. On applying ROC curve analysis, we found that TAT can be an excellent method to assess the hypercoagulability state in asymptomatic HD patients liable for COVID‑19 infection (area under the curve = 0.966; 95% confidence interval: 0.935–0.988; *P* < 0.001) as shown in Figure 3.

Discussion

Infection with COVID‑19 has specific problems for HD patients, especially those receiving care in HD centers. A high percentage of HD patients were asymptomatic. It carries the risk of delayed proper management and the risk of spreading the infection as these asymptomatic patients are not isolated and there are almost about 6 days of the incubation period to develop the symptoms. The frequency of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection is higher than expected from PCR studies, and

Figure 2: Difference in thrombin–antithrombin complex (TAT) levels between COVID-19-positive and COVID-19-negative hemodialysis patients. SARS-CoV = severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus; PCR = polymerase chain reaction

Table 2: Comparison between COVID PCR results and laboratory and sociodemographic variables in asymptomatic COVID‑19 hemodialysis patients (*n***=179)**

*Mean±standard deviation. **Frequency (percentage). COVID‑19=coronavirus disease 2019; PCR=polymerase chain reaction;

SARS-CoV-2=severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; PCKD=polycystic kidney disease; HTN=hypertension; DM=diabetes mellitus; SLE=systemic lupus erythematosus; HCV=hepatitis C virus; Hb=hemoglobin; CRP, C‑reactive protein; TAT=thrombin–antithrombin complex

*Pearson correlation, , Mean±standard deviation.

COVID‑19=coronavirus disease 2019; HCV=hepatitis C virus; Hb=hemoglobin; CRP, C-reactive protein

it only becomes apparent by additionally assessing the development of an immune response against the virus.^[1]

Figure 3: The receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve for thrombin–antithrombin complex levels in diagnosis of hypercoagulability state in COVID-positive end-stage renal disease patients

Asymptomatic HD patients may be more common and frequent than we predic.^[10] It is difficult to estimate the actual prevalence of the asymptomatic, infected HD population without massive screening. Some studies showed that asymptomatic COVID-19 HD populations

were estimated to be between 18% and 30%.^[11,12] The number of people with COVID-19 decreased by more than 90% in 10 days when both symptomatic and asymptomatic patients were isolated.^[10] So the importance of detecting asymptomatic patients lies in the ability of these patients to infect others.[8] One study showed that 15/90 (17%) HD patients with positive PCR were asymptomatic, representing 40% of those infected.^[13] In a recent interesting study, 24 of 25 asymptomatic HD patients had abnormal lung computed tomography findings.^[14] Another large-scale study in China suggested that 1.2% of patients had asymptomatic infection.^[15] Whereas in another study conducted recently, 18.4% of HD patients had an asymptomatic COVID-19 infection.^[3] COVID-19 infection is associated with increased thrombotic risks. It may even result in disseminated intravascular coagulation.[16] The problem lies in that not only COVID‑19 but also its treatment alters the coagulation system. It is reported that extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) can be an option for treatment in severe cases to support the cardiopulmonary function for some time till it regains its function.^[17] Elevated

*Pearson correlation. , Mean±standard deviation.

COVID‑19=coronavirus disease 2019; HCV=hepatitis C virus; Hb=hemoglobin; CRP, C-reactive protein

levels of TAT and D-dimer along with comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease carry a poor prognosis for COVID-19 patients.^[18] Jin *et al*. [19] found that D-dimer values greater than 1.03 mg/L is a red flag that necessitates anticoagulant therapy. The difficulties of adopting epidemiological interventions such as isolation and social distancing in HD centers greatly increase the risk of the spread of infection. There is an apparent growth in COVID-19 infection in patients undergoing HD. In our study, we found that out of 179 HD patients, 23 (12.9%) of them tested positive for COVID‑19 and 156 (87.1%) were negative by PCR. We found that D-dimer level was significantly higher in the COVID-19-positive group compared with the negative group. In a study conducted by Fisher *et al*.,[20] the authors reported a median (interquartile range $[IQR]$) D-dimer level of 0.3 $(1.9-4.6)$ μ g/mL. Ng *et al*. [21] in their study reported a median (IQR) D‑dimer level of 583 (392–1090) ng/mL among positive COVID‑19 patients. Moreover, we found that TAT level was also significantly higher in the COVID-19-positive group compared with other patients $(11.47 \pm 1.51 \text{ vs.})$ 7.53 \pm 1.64 mcg/L, respectively). This was much higher than that reported by Milburn *et al.*,^[22] who reported TAT levels of 4.39 \pm 1.07 and 2.83 \pm 0.62 mcg/L in HD and non‑HD groups, respectively. This is explained by the coincidence of COVID‑19 infection and ESRD in our patients that greatly affects the TAT serum levels as TAT level is proved to be higher in ESRD patients undergoing HD. Our findings also showed values higher than that reported by Erdem *et al.*,^[23] who reported TAT to be 4.5 \pm 0.6 µg/dL in HD patients. However, this value greatly increased to be 11.9 \pm 1.4 μ g/dL when samples were collected from the peripheral blood. In our study, we found significantly higher levels of CRP among the positive group patients (52.5 \pm 12.49 mg/dL), and this was higher than the level reported by Fisher *et al*. [20] and Ng *et al*.,[21] who reported 10.33 ± 4.37 mg/dL and 11.27 ± 4.13 mg/dL, respectively. The mean platelet count in the COVID-19-positive patients was $107.26 \pm 29.54 \times 10^9 / L$, which was significantly lower than the platelet count in the other group, and this was in contrast with Lano *et al*.,[24] who reported a platelet count of $170.5 \pm 29.45 \times 10^9$ /L

Table 5: Difference in D‑dimer and TAT levels between COVID‑19‑positive and COVID‑19‑negative HD groups and the control group

a; Control group versus ESKD without COVID group. b; Control group versus ESKD with COVID-19 group. 6; COVID-19-positive versus COVID‑19‑negative group. TAT=thrombin–antithrombin complex; COVID‑19=coronavirus disease 2019; HD=hemodialysis; SD=standard deviation

in ESRD patients infected with COVID-19. Interestingly, a meta-analysis done by Lippi *et al*. [25] reported that the platelet count was inversely proportional to the severity of COVID-19 infection, and the patients in our study were asymptomatic and about 92% of patients in Lano *et al*. were symptomatic.^[24] In our study, we found that there was a positive correlation between the D‑dimer and CRP levels in the COVID‑19‑positive group. On the other hand, the platelet count shows a negative correlation with both D-dimer and TAT levels. We did not find a significant correlation between both D-dimer and TAT levels and the other variables such as age, duration of dialysis, hemoglobin, and lymphocytes. This may be due to the small number of cases in the positive group. To the best of our knowledge, no studies assessed the hypercoagulability state in asymptomatic COVID‑19‑positive ESRD patients undergoing HD.

The limitations of our study were the inability to follow up the patients. So further research work is recommended to conduct a study on larger number of patients and with long periods of follow-up to know the long-term sequelae of asymptomatic COVID-19 infection in the immunocompromised patients.

In conclusion, we found that HD patients are at risk for asymptomatic COVID-19 infection. Both D-dimer and TAT levels were higher in COVID‑19‑positive patients on regular HD. A study on large follow-up periods is required to examine the outcomes in the long term.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patient(s) has/have given his/her/their consent for his/her/their images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Pizarro-Sánchez MS, Avello A, Mas-Fontao S, Stock da Cunha T, Goma-Garcés E, Pereira M, *et al*. Clinical features of asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection in hemodialysis patients. Kidney Blood Press Res 2021;46:126–34.
- 2. Ortiz A, Covic A, Fliser D, Fouque D, Gold-smith D, Kanbay M, *et al*. Epidemiology, contributors to, and clinical trials of mortality risk in chronic kidney failure. Lancet 2014;383:1831–43.
- 3. Tian M, Li H, Yan T, Dai Y, Dong L, Wei H, *et al*. Clinical features of patients undergoing hemodialysis with COVID-19. Semin Dial 2021;34:57–65.
- 4. Ma Y, Diao Bo, Lv X, Zhu J, Liang W, Liu L*et al*. 2019 novel

coronavirus disease in hemodialysis (HD) patients: Report from one HD center in Wuhan. China. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101 /20200.02.24.20027201.https://www.medrxiv.org/content/early/ 2020/02/27/2020.02.240.20027201.

- 5. Sánchez-Álvarez EJ, Fontán MP, Martín CJ, Pelícano MB, Reina CJC, Prieto ÁMS, *et al*. Situación de la infección por SARS-CoV-2 enpacientes en tratamiento renal sustitutivo Informe del Registro COVID-19 de la Sociedad Española de Nefrología (S.E.N.). Nefrología 2020;40:272–8.
- 6. Wang W, Tang J, Wei F. Updated understanding of the outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Wuhan, China. J Med Virol 2020;92:441–7.
- 7. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, *et al*. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 2020;395:497–506.
- 8. Bai Y, Yao L, Wei T, Tian F, Jin D-Y, Chen L, *et al*. Presumed asymptomatic carrier transmission of COVID-19. JAMA 2020;323:1406–7.
- 9. Qiu T, Liang S, Dabbous M, Wang Y, Han R, Toumi M. Chinese guidelines related to novel coronavirus pneumonia. J Mark Access Heal Policy 2020;8:1818446.
- 10. Day M. Covid-19: Four fifths of cases are asymptomatic, China figures indicate. BMJ 2020;369:m1375.
- 11. Mizumoto K, Kagaya K, Zarebski A, Chowell G. Estimating the asymptomatic proportion of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases on board the Diamond Princess cruise ship, Yokohama, Japan. Euro Surveill 2020;25:2000180.
- 12. Nishiura H, Kobayashi T, Miyama T, Suzuki A, Jung SM, Hayashi K, *et al*. Estimation of the asymptomatic ratio of novel coronavirus infections (COVID-19). Int J Infect Dis 2020;94:154–5.
- 13. Albalate M, Arribas P, Torres E, Cintra M, Al-cázar R, Puerta M, *et al*. Alta prevalencia de covid19 asintomático en hemodiálisis. Aprendiendo dia a dia el primer mes de pandemia de covid19. Nefrología 2020;40:279–86.
- 14. An P, Song P, Wang Y, Liu B. Asymptomatic patients with Novel Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19). Balkan Med J 2020;37:229–30.
- 15. Epidemiology Working Group for NCIP Epidemic Response. The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China. Chinese J Epidemiol 2020;41:145-51.
- 16. Qiu HJ, Yuan LX, Huang XK, Zhou YQ, Wu QW, Zheng R, *et al*. Using the big data of internet to understand the characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019: A big data study. Zhonghua Er Bi Yan Hou Tou Jing Wai Ke Za Zhi 2020;55:569–75.
- 17. Downard CD, Betit P, Chang RW, Garza JJ, Arnold JH, Wilson JM. Impact of AMICAR on hemorrhagic complications of ECMO: A ten-year review. J Pediatr Surg 2003;38:1212–6.
- 18. Abu-Farha M, Al-Sabah S, Hammad MM, Hebbar P, Channanath AM, John SE, *et al*. Prognostic genetic markers for thrombosis in COVID-19 patients: A focused analysis on D-Dimer, homocysteine and thromboembolism. Front Pharmacol 2020;11:587451.
- 19. Jin X, Duan Y, Bao T, Gu J, Chen Y, Li Y, *et al*. The values of coagulation function in COVID-19 patients. PLoS One 2020;15:e0241329.
- 20. Fisher M, Yunes M, Mokrzycki MH, Golestaneh L, Alahiri E, Coco M. Chronic hemodialysis patients hospitalized with COVID-19: Short-term outcomes in the Bronx, New York. Kidney360 2020;1:755–62.
- 21. Ng JH, Hirsch JS, Wanchoo R, Sachdeva M, Sakhiya V, Hong S, *et al*. Outcomes of patients with end-stage kidney disease hospitalized with COVID-19. Kidney Int 2020;98:1530–9.
- 22. Milburn JA, Ford I, Mutch NJ, Fluck N, Brittenden J. Thrombin-

anti-thrombin levels and patency of arterio-venous fistula in patients undergoing haemodialysis compared to healthy volunteers: A prospective analysis. PLoS One 2013;8:e67799.

- 23. Erdem Y, Haznedaroǧlu IC, Çelik I, Yalçin AU, Yasavul Ü, Turgan Ç, *et al*. Coagulation, fibrinolysis and fibrinolysis inhibitors in haemodialysis patients: Contribution of arteriovenous fistula. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1996;11:1299–305.
- 24. Lano G, Braconnier A, Bataille S, Cavaille G, Moussi-Frances J, Gondouin B, *et al*. Risk factors for severity of COVID-19 in chronic dialysis patients from a multicentre French cohort. Clin Kidney J 2020;13:878–88.
- 25. Lippi G, Plebani M, Henry BM. Thrombocytopenia is associated with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infections: A meta-analysis. Clin Chim Acta 2020;506:145–8.