A 2-year follow-up study of patients on automated peritoneal dialysis

C. Shyam Sunder Rao, P. Charan, G. Diwaker Naidu, G. Swarnalatha, R. Ram¹, K. V. Dakshinamurty

Departments of Nephrology, Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, Panjagutta, Hyderabad, 'Nephrology, Sri Venkateswara Institute of Medical Sciences, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

Automated peritoneal dialysis (APD) is increasingly being used for the treatment of end stage renal disease. We present our experience of APD at a government run tertiary care institute. APD was initiated for 22 patients between 2002 and 2010. On comparing APD and continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) patients, no difference in patient survival and technique survival was observed. CAPD patients had higher number of peritonitis episodes, greater decline in the serum albumin and a greater number of patients failed to achieve adequacy targets compared to APD.

Key words: Adequacy, automated peritoneal dialysis, continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis, high transporter status, peritonitis

Introduction

Automated peritoneal dialysis (APD) is increasingly being used for the treatment of end stage renal disease. APD has potential disadvantages compared with continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) such as possible faster decline in residual renal function,^[1-3] less sodium removal,^[3-6] and higher peritoneal protein loss.^[6] Better overall and technique survival on APD was reported in two studies.^[7,8] However, other studies could not demonstrate a benefit for APD in terms of survival.^[5,9] No significant differences in survival were found in three randomized controlled trials comparing APD to CAPD.^[10-12] However, the largest study comprised only 50 patients.^[11] In addition, APD is more expensive than CAPD^[13] and that was the reason for only a few institutes

Address for correspondence:

Dr. R. Ram, Department of Nephrology, Sri Venkateswara Institute of Medical Sciences, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India. E-mail: ram_5_1999@yahoo.com

Access this article online				
Quick Response Code:	Websiter			
	Website: www.indianjnephrol.org DOI: 10.4103/0971-4065.116292			

in India to be able to regularly practice APD. We present our experience of APD in this paper.

Materials and Methods

This study was performed at a state government run tertiary care institute. CAPD was started at this institute in 1998. APD was started in 2002.

For all CAPD patients, the peritoneal equilibration test (PET) was performed between 1st month and 3rd month after initiation of peritoneal dialysis (PD). It was the standard PET performed according to the procedure described by Twardowski.^[14] Patients with high and high average transport status were explained about APD. Patients who could afford the expenses of APD were changed to APD. For every patient initiated on APD, a well-matched CAPD patient was considered for the cohort. The patients of both groups were matched in age, gender, etiology of end stage renal disease (ESRD), residual urine volume at the time of initiation of dialysis, duration of chronic renal failure before initiation of dialysis, and peritoneal transporter status and education.

Patients of both cohorts were trained by the same PD nurse. APD patients used APD cycler (Home Choice) of Baxter India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi. They were prescribed 2 night exchanges of 5.0 l bags of either 1.5% or 2.5% dextrose Dianeal solution as per the clinical condition.

CAPD patients were prescribed three exchanges per day of 2.0 l bags of 1.5% or 2.5% dextrose Dianeal solution. A fourth exchange was added only when the patient failed to achieve adequacy and/or edema Free State. Icodextrin was not used in either group.

The patients were monitored at monthly intervals in the CAPD unit. At monthly consultations, patients were monitored with biochemical parameters, residual renal function, blood pressure, and the number of anti-hypertensive used, need of day time exchange in APD patients and additional exchange(s) in CAPD patients, infectious and mechanical complications and uremic complications.

Adequacy of PD was examined after 1st month and later after every 6 months. The International Society of Peritoneal Dialysis guidelines of 2006 were followed.^[15] For small solute removal, it was recommended that the total (renal and peritoneal) weekly Kt/V urea should be greater than 1.7. For patients on APD, the recommendation was a weekly minimum clearance of 45 l/1.73 M² should be reached.

The primary end point was patients completing 2 years follow-up or discontinuation of PD due to a complication. "As per protocol" analysis was performed and the patients who dropped due to complication were not considered for final analysis.

Clinical and biochemical data were evaluated statistically using the Student's *t*-test or Chi-square test for paired or unpaired data as appropriate. P < 0.05 was considered significant. Graph Pad program, version 3 software was used.

Results

Between 2002 and 2010, APD was initiated for 22 patients. The demographic and clinical characteristics of patients at the initiation of APD were given in Table 1. APD was started after 88 \pm 12 days of CAPD. There was no difference in mean age of patients, gender distribution, etiology of ESRD, urine volume, transporter status, blood pressure control, serum creatinine, and albumin between APD and CAPD cohorts.

All 22 patients of CAPD group reached the end point of completion of 2 years of PD. The follow-up duration was 528 patient-months in CAPD group. In APD cohort, 1 patient was shifted to hemodialysis for the indication of altered behavior. He was shifted during 4th month of APD (see below). The duration of follow-up of APD cohort

Table 1: Characteristics at initiation of study

Table 1: Characteristics at initiation of study						
Parameter	APD	CAPD	P value			
Number of patients	22	22				
Age in years, mean (range)	66.7 (25-81)	65 (27-79)	0.379			
Gender M: F	17:5	17:5	-			
Etiology of ESRD	Diabetes mellitus: 15	Diabetes mellitus: 15	-			
	Hypertension: 5 ADPKD: 1	Hypertension: 5 ADPKD: 1				
	VUR: 1	Neurogenic bladder: 1				
Urine volume (ml/day)*	675±218	665±24	0.895			
PET	High average: 18 High: 4	High average: 22	-			
Number of anti hypertensives (median)	2.0	2.0	-			
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	10.1±0.8	9.9±06	0.233			
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	7.8±1.2	7.7±1.4	0.412			
Serum albumin (g/dl)	3.2±0.3	3.2±0.3	0.118			
Monthly income (INR)	86136.3±9503.3	29772±9190.7	0.0128			

*No patient in either group had urine output less than 100 ml/day,

VUR: Vesicoureteric reflux, ADPKD: Autosomal dominant polycystic disease, APD: Automated peritoneal dialysis, CAPD: Continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis, ESRD: End stage renal disease, PET: Peritoneal equilibration test

was 508 patient months. Peritonitis rate was 5.5 times more in CAPD than in APD. Significant number of patients in CAPD cohort required an additional exchange to maintain edema free condition. There was also a greater requirement of hemodialysis in CAPD patients to maintain edema free condition. There were six patients with uremic complications in APD group. Incessant vomiting was complained in three patients. The serum creatinine in these three was 6.8 ± 0.49 mg/dl, but serum sodium was high. There were another three patients with complaints of excessive drowsiness. They were also found to have hypernatremia. The serum sodium in these six patients was 149 \pm 3.6 mEq/l. There was an improvement in serum albumin in APD patients after 2 years. However, it did not attain significance. However, owing to decline in serum albumin in CAPD patients, the difference of serum albumin at 2 years attained significance between the 2 groups. Characteristics of patients at the end of 2 years were given in Table 2.

Adequacy

Adequacy of PD was examined at 6 month interval after 1st month. Patients who could not achieve the targets of weekly minimum clearance of 45 l/1.73 m² for APD patients and total (renal and peritoneal) weekly Kt/V

Parameter	APD (<i>n</i> =21)	CAPD (<i>n</i> =22)	<i>P</i> value; RR (95% CI)
Duration of PD (months)	33.7±6.9	33.8±5.8	-
Ultrafiltration (ml/d)	1295.4±113.2	1209.5±287.5	0.203
Number of peritonitis episodes	2 episodes 1 episode/252 months	12 episodes 1 episode/44 months	Peritonitis rates was 5.5 times more in CAPD than in APD ^[16]
Microorganisms	Escherichia coli-1 Coagulae negative staphylococcus aureus-1	Escherichia coli-3 Coagulae negative staphylococcus aureus-3 Acinetobacter baumnii-1 Staphylococcus aureus-1 Culture negative-4	-
Number of mechanical complications	2-catheter migration 1-abdominal hernia	1-abdominal hernia	0.606, RR: 0.631 (95% CI: 0.327-1.21)
Number of patients requiring additional exchange for fluid overload	4	12	0.026, RR: 2.51 (95% CI: 1.02-6.17)
Number of patients requiring hemodialysis for fluid overload	0	2	-
Number patients with uremic complications	3-vomiting 3-drowsiness 1-altered behavior	1-vomiting	0.0459, RR: 0.486 (95% Cl: 0.297-0.794)
Serum albumin (g/dl)	3.4±0.3	3.0±0.3	0.0003
Urine volume (ml/day)	359.0±278.8	354.5±259.9	0.995
Number of patients with urine output <100 ml/d	8	4	0.1854, RR: 0.629 95% (Cl: 0.353-1.119)
Technique survival at 2 yrs	21	22	
Patient survival at 2 yrs	22	22	-

Table 2: Characteristics at 2 years

APD: Automated peritoneal dialysis, CAPD: Continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis, CI: Confidence interval, RR: Relative risk

urea greater than 1.7 for CAPD patients was given in the Table 3. CAPD patients who could not achieve adequacy and/or maintain edema Free State with three exchanges an additional exchange was given. During 4th month of APD treatment, a patient developed altered behavior. He was shifted to hemodialysis. His weekly clearance was 35 l/1.73 M² at 3rd month of APD. Other investigations were (mean \pm SD of four values before the start of hemodialysis): Serum creatinine: 9.1 \pm 0.3 mg/dl, blood urea: 210 \pm 15 mg/dl, serum sodium: 131 \pm 1.0 mEq/l, serum potassium: 4.3 \pm 0.1 mEq/l, magnetic resonance imaging brain revealed only age related atrophy. He improved after three sessions of hemodialysis.

Discussion

This article presented 2 years follow-up of 22 incident patients on APD. APD patients were compared to well-matched CAPD patients. Limitation of the study was that patients on APD were economically stronger and could afford the treatment. It is possible that better economic status might have led to better hygienic practices, diet, and results in APD group.

A systematic review of controlled trials comparing APD and CAPD and studies of four databases had been recently published and the results of these studies were tabulated in Table 4.

Table 3: Number of patients who failed to achieve the adequacy

adoquady					
<i>N</i> =22 in each cohort	At 1 month	6 months	12 months	18 months	24 months
APD	2	1	6	3	3
CAPD	1	12	6	8	8
ADD: Automated			D. Oantinue		

APD: Automated peritoneal dialysis, CAPD: Continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis

The systematic review of controlled trials,^[18] and the survival data observed in the Netherlands Cooperative Study on the Adequacy of Dialysis (NECOSAD) database,^[13] the Australian and New Zealand Dialysis and Transplantation (ANZDATA) registry,^[9] and the USA database did not show a difference in patient survival between APD and CAPD. In the present study, survival in incident patients was comparable for APD and CAPD. in contrast, survival was better in incident APD patients in a Mexican database.^[8] In a large cohort of more than 30,000 incident patients in the USA Baxter database,^[7] mortality during the first 6 months of therapy was lower in APD compared to CAPD patients, although survival differences were restricted to this time period.

There was no difference in the technique survival between APD and CAPD patients in the present study, even though, the factors that contribute to technique failure such as the peritonitis and fluid overload were significantly higher in CAPD group. All episodes of peritonitis were successfully

	Guo <i>et al</i> . (Baxter database) ^[7]	Badve <i>et al.</i> (ANZDATA) ^[9]	Sanchez <i>et al</i> . (Mexican database) ^[8]	Michels <i>et al.</i> (NECOSAD) ^[13]	Cnossen <i>et al.</i> (USA database) ^[17]	Present study
Published in	2003	2008	2008	2009	2011	
Number of APD patients	Approximately 20,200	1735	98	87	441	22
Type of patients	Incident	Incident and prevalent	Incident	Incident	Incident	Incident
Survival difference between APD and CAPD	APD better than CAPD	Comparable	APD better than CAPD	No difference	Comparable	No difference
Survival rates in APD	87.24% at 1 year	Death rate per 100 person-year 7.8 at 0-1 time interval	82% at 1 year	77% at 2 years	89% at 2 years	100% at 2 years
Technique failure	CAPD patients tended to have a lower technique success	Similar	No difference	No difference	Higher in CAPD patients	No difference

treated and patients of fluid overload were successfully managed. It is interesting that differences in technique failure between APD and CAPD were apparent only in the USA studies.^[7,17] the results of the ANZDATA^[9] and NECOSAD^[13] results were different [Table 4].

Peritonitis rates, which could be an important cause of technique failure, had been differently reported in various studies; higher in CAPD,^[19] and lower in APD.^[20,21] In a systematic review of three randomized studies, it was shown that APD patients had significantly lower peritonitis rates.^[18] There was no difference in rates of peritonitis in USA data base.^[17] In a recent study the outcomes of peritonitis in APD and CAPD was not reported to be different.^[22]

In our study, peritonitis rates were higher in CAPD patients than in APD, but the Tenckhoff catheter was not removed any of the patients.

In the present study, serum albumin levels improved significantly in APD patients than in CAPD patients. More episodes of peritonitis and frequent fluid overload states might explain the low serum albumin levels in CAPD. In USA data base study^[17] also the time-averaged albumin levels were lower in CAPD patients. A recent study suggested that peritoneal albumin loss might even be somewhat higher in APD compared to CAPD patients,^[6] although no differences in protein loss or serum albumin levels were observed when patients were changed from CAPD to APD.^[23]

A faster decline in urine volume per day was observed in APD compared to CAPD patients in the past.^[2,3] The reason for the faster decline in urine volume per day in APD patients might be the more intensive ultrafiltration during shorter dwell times in APD compared to the more

330 September 2013 / Vol 23 / Issue 5

gradual fluid removal during CAPD.^[2] In our study, the urine volume was comparable between the cohorts at the end of 2 years. However, in more number of APD patients urine volume declined to less than 100 mL/day than CAPD patients. However, it did not attain statistical significance. This happened despite more number of peritonitis episodes in CAPD group. Similar to our study the study of the USA data base^[17] also found no difference in urine volume per day between APD and CAPD patients. The other data bases were silent on urine volumes.

More number of CAPD patients compared to APD could not achieve dialysis adequacy targets. The reasons could be the high average transport status and there were higher peritonitis rate in CAPD patients. These patients required additional exchanges to achieve the targets.

In conclusion, the present study compared APD and CAPD patients. The study should be read with a caveat that the APD patients were economically stronger than CAPD patients. There was no difference in the patient survival and technique survival between the two groups. CAPD patients had a higher number of peritonitis episodes, greater decline in the serum albumin and a greater number of patients failed to achieve adequacy targets compared to APD.

References

- Hiroshige K, Yuu K, Soejima M, Takasugi M, Kuroiwa A. Rapid 1. decline of residual renal function in patients on automated peritoneal dialysis. Perit Dial Int 1996;16:307-15.
- 2. Hufnagel G, Michel C, Queffeulou G, Skhiri H, Damieri H, Mignon F. The influence of automated peritoneal dialysis on the decrease in residual renal function. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1999;14:1224-8.
- З. Rodríguez-Carmona A. Fontán MP. Sodium removal in patients undergoing CAPD and automated peritoneal dialysis. Perit Dial Int 2002;22:705-13.
- 4. Ortega O, Gallar P, Carreño A, Gutierrez M, Rodriguez I, Oliet A,

et al. Peritoneal sodium mass removal in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis and automated peritoneal dialysis: Influence on blood pressure control. Am J Nephrol 2001;21:189-93.

- Rodriguez-Carmona A, Pérez-Fontán M, Garca-Naveiro R, Villaverde P, Peteiro J. Compared time profiles of ultrafiltration, sodium removal, and renal function in incident CAPD and automated peritoneal dialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis 2004;44:132-45.
- Westra WM, Kopple JD, Krediet RT, Appell M, Mehrotra R. Dietary protein requirements and dialysate protein losses in chronic peritoneal dialysis patients. Perit Dial Int 2007;27:192-5.
- Guo A, Mujais S. Patient and technique survival on peritoneal dialysis in the United States: Evaluation in large incident cohorts. Kidney Int Suppl 2003;64:S3-12.
- Sanchez AR, Madonia C, Rascon-Pacheco RA. Improved patient/ technique survival and peritonitis rates in patients treated with automated peritoneal dialysis when compared to continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis in a Mexican PD center. Kidney Int Suppl 2008;73:S76-80.
- Badve SV, Hawley CM, McDonald SP, Mudge DW, Rosman JB, Brown FG, *et al*. Automated and continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis have similar outcomes. Kidney Int 2008;73:480-8.
- Bro S, Bjorner JB, Tofte-Jensen P, Klem S, Almtoft B, Danielsen H, *et al.* A prospective, randomized multicenter study comparing APD and CAPD treatment. Perit Dial Int 1999;19:526-33.
- 11. de Fijter CW, Oe LP, Nauta JJ, van der Meulen J, Verbrugh HA, Verhoef J, *et al.* Clinical efficacy and morbidity associated with continuous cyclic compared with continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. Ann Intern Med 1994;120:264-71.
- 12. Iles-Smith H, Curwell J, Gokal R. Comparative evaluation of CAPD and PD-plus effectiveness. EDTNA ERCA J 1999;25:27-9.
- Michels WM, Verduijn M, Boeschoten EW, Dekker FW, Krediet RT, NECOSAD Study Group. Similar survival on automated peritoneal dialysis and continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis in a large prospective cohort. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2009;4:943-9.
- Twardowski Z. Peritoneal equilibration test. Perit Dial Bull 1987;7:138-47.
- 15. Lo WK, Bargman JM, Burkart J, Krediet RT, Pollock C, Kawanishi

H, et al. Guideline on targets for solute and fluid removal in adult patients on chronic peritoneal dialysis. Perit Dial Int 2006;26:520-2.

- Nessim SJ, Bargman JM. Occurrence of peritonitis in APD versus CAPD: Methodologic problems. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2008;23:1769-70.
- 17. Cnossen TT, Usvyat L, Kotanko P, van der Sande FM, Kooman JP, Carter M, *et al.* Comparison of outcomes on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis versus automated peritoneal dialysis: Results from a USA database. Perit Dial Int 2011;31:679-84.
- Rabindranath KS, Adams J, Ali TZ, Daly C, Vale L, Macleod AM. Automated vs continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2007;22:2991-8.
- Rodríguez-Carmona A, Pérez Fontán M, García Falcón T, Fernández Rivera C, Valdés F. A comparative analysis on the incidence of peritonitis and exit-site infection in CAPD and automated peritoneal dialysis. Perit Dial Int 1999;19:253-8.
- Holley JL, Bernardini J, Piraino B. Continuous cycling peritoneal dialysis is associated with lower rates of catheter infections than continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. Am J Kidney Dis 1990;16:133-6.
- Huang JW, Hung KY, Yen CJ, Wu KD, Tsai TJ. Comparison of infectious complications in peritoneal dialysis patients using either a twin-bag system or automated peritoneal dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2001;16:604-7.
- Rüger W, van Ittersum FJ, Comazzetto LF, Hoeks SE, ter Wee PM. Similar peritonitis outcome in CAPD and APD patients with dialysis modality continuation during peritonitis. Perit Dial Int 2011;31:39-47.
- Rodríguez AM, Díaz NV, Cubillo LP, Plana JT, Riscos MA, Delgado RM, *et al.* Automated peritoneal dialysis: A Spanish multicentre study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1998;13:2335-40.

How to cite this article: Rao CS, Charan P, Naidu GD, Swarnalatha G, Ram R, Dakshinamurty KV. A 2-year follow-up study of patients on automated peritoneal dialysis. Indian J Nephrol 2013;23:327-31. Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.