Research Letter

Efficacy of Casirivimab/Imdevimab in Kidney Transplant Recipients Admitted with Mild-to-Moderate COVID-19: A Case Series

Kidney transplant recipients (KTRs) are at a high risk of developing graft dysfunction and mortality after contracting the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Most approved COVID-19 therapies are intended for hospitalized patients with advanced disease. Casirivimab/imdevimab—a monoclonal antibody that targets the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein—has been studied as an outpatient treatment for mild-to-moderate COVID-19.^[1,2]

There is little information on the use of these monoclonal antibodies and their side effects in KTRs. This study reports the treatment of seven KTRs having mild-to-moderate COVID-19 with casirivimab/imdevimab.

The clinical characteristics and laboratory data are shown in Table 1. The median (interquartile [IQR]) age of the KTRs was 53 (37–67) years; all were men and the median (IQR) duration from transplant to COVID-19 infection was 4 (2–6) years. All were on triple immunosuppressants consisting of mycophenolate, prednisolone, and either tacrolimus, sirolimus, or cyclosporine.

Two patients were completely vaccinated (one with two doses of Covishield and another with three doses of

CharacteristicFrequencyAge, median (IQR), years $53 (37-67)$ Duration of stay, median (IQR), days $10 (5-14)$ Time since transplant, median (IQR), years $4 (2-6)$ Duration between hospitalization and monoclonal antibodies treatment, median (IQR), days $4 (3-5)$ Immunosuppression $4 (57.1\%)$ Tacrolimus $2 (28.6\%)$ Cyclosporine $1 (14.3\%)$ Mycophenolate mofetil $7 (100.0\%)$ Severity of Disease on CT ScoreMildMild $5 (71.4\%)$ Moderate $2 (28.6\%)$ Clinical Status During First admission $0 xygen (O_2)$ requiredOxygen (O_2) required $1 (14.3\%)$ Infection at follow-up (UTI) $1 (14.3\%)$ Outcome $Discharge$ Discharge $7 (100.0\%)$ Rejection $0 (0.0\%)$	Table 1: Clinical characteristics of the patients at baseline	
Duration of stay, median (IQR), days10 (5–14)Time since transplant, median (IQR), years4 (2–6)Duration between hospitalization and monoclonal antibodies treatment, median (IQR), days4 (3–5)Immunosuppression4 (57.1%)Tacrolimus4 (57.1%)Sirolimus2 (28.6%)Cyclosporine1 (14.3%)Mycophenolate mofetil7 (100.0%)Severity of Disease on CT Score10Mild5 (71.4%)Moderate2 (28.6%)Clinical Status During First admission2 (28.6%)Oxygen (O2) required2 (28.6%)Clinical Status at Follow-Up1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)Outcome1 (14.3%)Discharge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Characteristic	Frequency
Time since transplant, median (IQR), years4 (2–6)Duration between hospitalization and monoclonal antibodies treatment, median (IQR), days4 (3–5)Immunosuppression1Tacrolimus4 (57.1%)Sirolimus2 (28.6%)Cyclosporine1 (14.3%)Mycophenolate mofetil7 (100.0%)Severity of Disease on CT Score2Mild5 (71.4%)Moderate2 (28.6%)Clinical Status During First admission2Oxygen (O2) required2 (28.6%)Clinical Status at Follow-Up1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)Outcome1Discharge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Age, median (IQR), years	53 (37–67)
Duration between hospitalization and monoclonal antibodies treatment, median (IQR), days4 (3–5)Immunosuppression4 (57.1%)Tacrolimus4 (57.1%)Sirolimus2 (28.6%)Cyclosporine1 (14.3%)Mycophenolate mofetil7 (100.0%)Severity of Disease on CT Score7Mild5 (71.4%)Moderate2 (28.6%)Clinical Status During First admission2 (28.6%)Oxygen (O2) required2 (28.6%)Clinical Status at Follow-Up1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)Outcome1Discharge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Duration of stay, median (IQR), days	10 (5–14)
antibodies treatment, median (IQR), days Immunosuppression Tacrolimus 4 (57.1%) Sirolimus 2 (28.6%) Cyclosporine 1 (14.3%) Mycophenolate mofetil 7 (100.0%) Severity of Disease on CT Score Mild 5 (71.4%) Moderate 2 (28.6%) Clinical Status During First admission Oxygen (O ₂) required 2 (28.6%) Clinical Status at Follow-Up ICU required 1 (14.3%) Infection at follow-up (UTI) 1 (14.3%) Outcome Discharge 7 (100.0%) Death 0 (0.0%)	Time since transplant, median (IQR), years	4 (2–6)
ImmunosuppressionTacrolimus4 (57.1%)Sirolimus2 (28.6%)Cyclosporine1 (14.3%)Mycophenolate mofetil7 (100.0%)Severity of Disease on CT Score7 (100.0%)Mild5 (71.4%)Moderate2 (28.6%)Clinical Status During First admission2 (28.6%)Oxygen (O2) required2 (28.6%)Clinical Status at Follow-Up1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)Outcome2Discharge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Duration between hospitalization and monoclonal	4 (3–5)
Tacrolimus $4 (57.1\%)$ Sirolimus $2 (28.6\%)$ Cyclosporine $1 (14.3\%)$ Mycophenolate mofetil $7 (100.0\%)$ Severity of Disease on CT Score $1 (14.3\%)$ Mild $5 (71.4\%)$ Moderate $2 (28.6\%)$ Clinical Status During First admission $0 xygen (O_2)$ requiredOxygen (O_2) required $2 (28.6\%)$ Clinical Status at Follow-Up $1 (14.3\%)$ Infection at follow-up (UTI) $1 (14.3\%)$ Outcome $7 (100.0\%)$ Death $0 (0.0\%)$	antibodies treatment, median (IQR), days	
Sirolimus2 (28.6%)Cyclosporine1 (14.3%)Mycophenolate mofetil7 (100.0%)Severity of Disease on CT ScoreMild5 (71.4%)Moderate2 (28.6%)Clinical Status During First admission2 (28.6%)Oxygen (O2) required2 (28.6%)Clinical Status at Follow-Up1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)Outcome2Discharge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Immunosuppression	
Cyclosporine1 (14.3%)Mycophenolate mofetil7 (100.0%)Severity of Disease on CT ScoreMild5 (71.4%)Moderate2 (28.6%)Clinical Status During First admissionOxygen (O2) required2 (28.6%)Clinical Status at Follow-Up1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)OutcomeDischarge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Tacrolimus	4 (57.1%)
Mycophenolate mofetil7 (100.0%)Severity of Disease on CT Score7Mild5 (71.4%)Moderate2 (28.6%)Clinical Status During First admission2Oxygen (O2) required2 (28.6%)Clinical Status at Follow-Up1ICU required1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)Outcome1Discharge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Sirolimus	2 (28.6%)
Severity of Disease on CT ScoreMild5 (71.4%)Moderate2 (28.6%)Clinical Status During First admission2 (28.6%)Oxygen (O2) required2 (28.6%)Clinical Status at Follow-Up1 (14.3%)ICU required1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)OutcomeDischarge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Cyclosporine	1 (14.3%)
Mild5 (71.4%)Moderate2 (28.6%)Clinical Status During First admission2 (28.6%)Oxygen (O2) required2 (28.6%)Clinical Status at Follow-Up1 (14.3%)ICU required1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)Outcome1Discharge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Mycophenolate mofetil	7 (100.0%)
Moderate2 (28.6%)Clinical Status During First admission0xygen (O2) required2 (28.6%)Clinical Status at Follow-Up1 (14.3%)ICU required1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)Outcome0Discharge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Severity of Disease on CT Score	
Clinical Status During First admissionOxygen (O2) required2 (28.6%)Clinical Status at Follow-UpICU required1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)OutcomeDischargeDischarge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Mild	5 (71.4%)
Oxygen (O2) required2 (28.6%)Clinical Status at Follow-Up1 (14.3%)ICU required1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)Outcome1Discharge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Moderate	2 (28.6%)
Clinical Status at Follow-UpICU required1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)OutcomeDischarge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Clinical Status During First admission	
ICU required1 (14.3%)Infection at follow-up (UTI)1 (14.3%)OutcomeDischarge7 (100.0%)Death0 (0.0%)	Oxygen (O ₂) required	2 (28.6%)
Infection at follow-up (UTI) 1 (14.3%) Outcome Discharge 7 (100.0%) Death 0 (0.0%)	Clinical Status at Follow-Up	
Outcome 7 (100.0%) Discharge 7 (0.0%) Death 0 (0.0%)	ICU required	1 (14.3%)
Discharge 7 (100.0%) Death 0 (0.0%)	Infection at follow-up (UTI)	1 (14.3%)
Death 0 (0.0%)	Outcome	
	Discharge	7 (100.0%)
Rejection 0 (0.0%)	Death	0 (0.0%)
	Rejection	0 (0.0%)

ICU=Intensive care unit, IQR=Interquartile range, O_2 =Oxygen, UTI=Urinary tract infection

Pfizer-BioNTech), two had received a single dose of Covaxin, and three were unvaccinated. None had taken booster dose as booster doses were not available at that time. The diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed by nasopharyngeal reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test. On the chest high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT), only two KTRs showed moderate CT score, whereas all of the remaining KTRs showed mild involvement. All patients presented with fever. One patient each had breathlessness and cough on presentation. Two patients required supplemental oxygen by face mask.

Treatment was started according to guidelines of the AIIMS/ICMR-COVID-19 National Task Force/Joint Monitoring Group, Government of India, dated May 19, 2021. Mild disease was defined as upper respiratory tract symptoms (and/or fever) without shortness of breath or hypoxia and moderate disease as any one of the following: (1) respiratory rate >24 breaths/min, breathlessness; (2) SpO2 of 90% to <93% on room air. Immunosuppression modification was individualized based on the infection and rejection risk.

As per institutional protocol, five patients underwent tacrolimus dose reduction to maintain trough level close to 5 ng/ml, and their antiproliferative agent was stopped. In the other two patients, only the dose of mycophenolate mofetil was reduced. Two patients received remdesivir, and one patient received intravenous methylprednisolone.

Within five days of their hospitalization, all patients were started on monoclonal antibodies in the dose of half vial of Ronapreve, that is, casirivimab (600 mg) and imdevimab (600 mg), intravenously. Median (IQR) serum creatinine significantly decreased (P < 0.01) from 1.78 (1.71–2.88) mg/dl at admission to 1.47 (1.14–2) mg/dl at discharge. There was no evidence of allograft dysfunction after use of Ronapreve. Elevated D-dimer (630 [550–880] ng/ml) levels also significantly decreased (15 [15–23] ng/ml, P < 0.01) at discharge.

After a median (IQR) duration of 10 (5–14) days in the hospital, all patients were discharged. All have been followed up for 14 months from discharge. One patient developed urinary tract infection after one month and another needed admission for breathlessness due to post-COVID-19 pulmonary fibrosis one month after discharge.

In this series, we found that casirivimab/imdevimab combined with immunosuppression reduction for the treatment of mild-to-moderate COVID-19 in KTRs was safe and associated with good outcomes. COVID-19 in KTRs may be severe and require intensive care admission.^[3]

Immunosuppression imposes a serious challenge in managing KTRs with COVID-19, and treatment options are limited.

In this series, we noticed a rapid and clear improvement following introduction of the antibodies. Elevated D-dimer levels normalized, and serum creatinine reduced at discharge. Only two patients had moderate HRCT score, and all recovered fully. In our study, the median duration of hospitalization was 10 (5–14) days.

The safety data of the study were encouraging—with only one patient experiencing nausea—and were in line with other studies.^[1,4,5] We did not encounter any episode of acute rejection, change in tacrolimus level, or increase in creatinine at the 30-day follow-up visit.

To our knowledge, this is the first Indian study on the use of monoclonal antibodies in KTRs with COVID-19 infection. However, this study has a very small sample size, making it impossible to draw any firm conclusions. Additionally, there was no control group, and donor-specific antibodies were not tested.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Siddhavinayak Suryakant Rajmane, Ashwin Arunkumar Patil, Choudhary Sudhiranjan Dash, Madan M. Bahadur, Ashay Shingare, Rushi Vijaykumar Deshpande

Department of Nephrology, Jaslok Hospital and Research Centre, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Siddhavinayak Suryakant Rajmane, Department of Nephrology, Jaslok Hospital and Research Centre, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India. E-mail: siddhu.rajmane@gmail.com

References

- 1. Ahearn AJ, Thin Maw T, Mehta R, Emamaullee J, Kim J, Blodget E, et al. A Programmatic response, including bamlanivimab or casirivimab-imdevimab administration, reduces hospitalization and death in COVID-19 positive abdominal transplant recipients. Transplantation 2022;106:e153-7.
- Pynadath CT, Bartash R, al Azzi Y, Campos PL, Ajaimy M, Liriano-Ward LE, et al. Treatment with monoclonal antibodies minimize severity of covid-19 illness among kidney transplant recipients. J Am Soc Nephrol 2021; 32:640-640.
- Kute VB, Bhalla AK, Guleria S, Ray DS, Bahadur MM, Shingare A, et al. Clinical profile and outcome of COVID-19 in 250 kidney transplant recipients: A multicenter cohort study from India. Transplantation 2021;105:851-60.
- Sarrell BA, Bloch K, El Chediak A, Kumm K, Tracy K, Forbes RC, et al. Monoclonal antibody treatment for COVID-19 in solid organ transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis 2022;24:e13759.
- Yetmar ZA, Beam E, O'Horo JC, Ganesh R, Bierle DM, Brumble L, et al. Monoclonal antibody therapy for COVID-19 in solid organ transplant recipients. Open Forum Infect Dis 2021;8:ofab255.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: https://journals.lww.com/ijon
	DOI: 10.4103/ijn.ijn_300_22

How to cite this article: Rajmane SS, Patil AA, Dash CS, Bahadur MM, Shingare A, Deshpande RV. Efficacy of casirivimab/imdevimab in kidney transplant recipients admitted with mild-to-moderate COVID-19: A case series. Indian J Nephrol 2023;33:371-2.

Received: 04-09-2022; Revised: 07-03-2023; Accepted: 13-03-2023; Published: 05-06-2023